



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,057		10/16/2000	Richard Gresko	MOT-D2006C1	1776	
24375	7590	04/29/2004		EXAMINER		
VOLPE AN		NIG, P.C.	BUI, KIEU OANH T			
DEPT. MOT UNITED PL		JITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 SOUTH	17TH ST	REET	2611	AI		
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	A 19103		DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•								
	Office Action Summary	09/690,057	GRESKO ET AL.					
	omee Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	KIEU-OANH T BUI	2611					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -	•				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12-35 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·	-,,	` '				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in oriority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/690,057

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Claims 1-11 were canceled without prejudice in the pre-amendment received 4/16/01. Pending claims are new claims 12-35.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation of "a directional processor having a reception port and a transmission port" and ... "positioned in either of two positions" of either a first and second I/O ports does not exist throughout the entire specifications. The Examiner assumes that a CATV directional component cited in claims 12, 19, 25, and 31 refers to the cable tap, and the components inside the tap does not describe a directional processor at all in the specifications and in the drawings. The Examiner suspends further examination and the art rejection until this matter can be resolved and clearly identified in the specifications and in the drawings later on; otherwise, the Examiner would mistakenly assume the objective of the present invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,057

Art Unit: 2611

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 12-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As mentioned above, the claim languages of claims 12, 19, 25, and 31 are vague and indefinite in a way that a limitation which they refer to, i.e., "a directional processor having a reception port and a transmission port" and ..." positioned in either of two positions" of either a first and second I/O ports, are not described within the specifications and in the drawings.

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal Drive. Arlington. V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,057

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 April 27, 2004 L KUANVI KARSTANSKUI